

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/707,503	12/18/2003	Allan McLane	718395.58	1502	
27128 7.	590 11/18/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BLACKWELL SANDERS PEPER MARTIN LLP			FOX, JOHN C		
720 OLIVE ST	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 2400			AKI UNII	- TATER NOMBER	
ST. LOUIS, M	O 63101		3753		

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\Lambda \Lambda$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	TIVI
	10/707,503	MCLANE ET AL.	Ų,
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Fox	3753	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	9ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of this period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>18 September 2003</u> .		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for			erits is
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	· •		
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-892) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/25/2004</u> .	948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	(2)

Application/Control Number: 10/707,503

Art Unit: 3753

This action is responsive to the communication filed December 18, 2003.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Lehmann (6,164,248), of record.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lehmann in view of Zajac et al (6,315,267), of record.

Lehmann shows the claimed valve except for the biasing mechanism. Zajac et al show a rotary valve for an engine cooling circuit with a biasing spring 74 so the valve fails to a safe position. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the Lehmann valve with such a spring bias to similarly provide a failsafe mechanism.

Art Unit: 3753

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 703-308-2595. The examiner can normally be reached on Maximum Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherble can be reached on 703-308-1257. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Fox Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753